

REMARKS

Referring again to the rejected claims, Applicant notes the concession in the Office Action that the cited Kozuka patent, which is the primary rejecting reference, does not disclose the detection portion or the switching portion required in independent Claim 1, and notes that the cited Shigeki reference should not be deemed to overcome that deficiency of Kozuka. Instead the Shigeki reference appears to disclose a subtraction between a signal including noise (e.g., a signal on vertical signal lines  $3_2, 3_4, \dots, 3_{2n}$  is an odd field) and a noise component (e.g., a signal on vertical signal lines  $1, 3_3, \dots, 3_{2n-1}$  in the odd field). The noise component is a noise signal accumulated in the vertical signal lines which are scanned in an interlace scanning format. Accordingly, the Shigeki reference should not be deemed to disclose the detecting portion, or the switching portion as required in Claim 1. For these reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the cited Shigeki reference does not provide any suggestion for combining its teachings with those of the Kozuka patent especially in view of the fact that the Shigeki reference does not disclose the drive control portion, the correction portion, or the switching portion, required in Claim 1.

New Claim 35 corresponds to allowable Claim 3 written in independent form.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the application is now allowable, and the issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance is solicited.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Previously Presented York Office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Applicant  
John A. Krause  
Registration No. 24,613

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3801  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

NY\_MAIN 473207v1